Universität Zürich[UZH]

Check out our recently published manuscript on this topic:

Intervertebral disc microbiome in Modic Changes: lack of result replication underscores the need for a consensus in low-biomass microbiome analysis

Tamara Mengis[1,2], Natalia Zajac[3], Laura Bernhard[1,2], Irina Heggli[1,2], Nick Herger[1,2], Jan Devan[1,2], Roy Marcus[4], Florian Brunner[2], Christoph Laux[5], Mazda Farshad[5], Oliver Distler[1,2], Stefan Dudli[1,2]

[1]Center of Experimental Rheumatology, Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital, University of Zurich, Switzerland
[2]Department of Physical Medicine and Rheumatology, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, Switzerland
[3]Functional Genomics Center Zurich, University and ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
[4]Department of Radiology, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, CH
[5]Department of Orthopedics, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, CH

1. Background

The emerging field of the disc microbiome challenges traditional views of disc sterility, which opens new avenues for novel clinical insights. However, the lack of methodological consensus in disc microbiome studies introduces discrepancies.

2. Aims

1. To compare the disc microbiome of non-Modic (nonMC), Modic type 1 change (MC1), and MC2 discs to findings from prior disc microbiome studies [1]
2. To investigate if discrepancies to prior studies can be explained with bioinformatic variations.

3. Methods

- Collection of seventy discs: 24 nonMC, 25 MC1, and 21 MC2.
- Surgical samples from spinal fusion surgery patients.
- Experimental setup included buffer contamination controls.
- Procedures conducted under aseptic conditions.
- Methodology and results were contrasted with Rajasekaran et al. (2023) disc microbiome study.
- Critical bioinformatic steps were varied: taxonomic lineage assignment, prevalence cut-off.



Figure 1. Workflow for our study, following best practices. Orange lightning bolts indicate variations from Rajasekaran et al.'s (2023) MC metagenome investigation, red indicates unique steps in this workflow, and blue bolts represent steps further explored using our dataset.

4. Results

- There was limited overlap of results with a previous study on MC disc microbiome. No bacterial genera were shared using the same bioinformatic parameters (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Direct comparison of the number of genera detected in our study compared to the number presented by Rajasekaran et al. (2023).

- Increasing filter cut-off from 4% to 50% (previous study) reduced genera from 48 to 4 genera (Figure 4).



Figure 3. Genera distribution based on the median abundance per genera with three different filters: genera detected in more than 4 % of patients overall (top row), genera detected in more than 50 % of patients in at least one group (middle row) and genera detected in overall more than 50 % of patients (bottom row).

- Despite the differences, both studies observed dysbiosis with an increased abundance of gram-negative bacteria in MC discs (Figure 4) as well as a lower beta-diversity.



Figure 4. Median abundance of **(A)** gram-negative genera or **(B)** gram-positive genera extracted with ASVs or OTUs compared between nonMC, MC1 and MC2.

5. Conclusion

There is **dysbiosis in MC discs**. **Bioinformatic parameters impact results** yet cannot explain the different findings from this and a previous study. Therefore, discrepancies are likely caused by different sample preparations or true biologic differences. **Harmonized protocols are required** to advance understanding of the disc microbiome and its clinical implications.

USZ Universitäts Spital Zürich
Balgrist University Hospital
balgristcampus
Dudli-lab.ch

Contact:
tamara.mengis@usz.ch